25X1

25X1

25X1

25)

25)

25X

25

25X

	29 May 1963
MEMORANDUM F	'OR: Mr. Earman
SUBJECT:	Dissemination on CINCUSARPAC Action Cable to CIA
14 May 1963	erence is made to the memorandum for the record of and attachments prepared by the Liaison Staff of OCR d to this office concerning the above subject.
found that n that NPIC or Secretariat bearing the ascer cable referr had conclude to do with orinformation messages in action was a	ad a long talk with on this matter and owhere in records was there any indication anyone else had ever officially informed the Cable who in the Agency might be interested in traffic BRASS KNOB cryptonym. After considerable checking tained that the Cable Secretariat had once seen a ing to BRASS KNOB and from the contents of the cable d that the cryptonym dealt with Cuba and had something verflights of Cuba. Based on this somewhat sketchy the Cable Secretariat disseminated the three CINCUSARPAC question to OCI, ONE, OSI, DD/P, OEL, and FID. No ssigned by the Cable Secretariat to any of the above es since this has always been the practice in disseminations ables.
having interest the Cable Second any action the Cable Second	explained that the Cable Secretariat disseminates non-CIA cables to various components in the Agency est in the contents thereof, but it is impossible in cretariat to determine, most of the time, whether or on is required by anyone in CIA. With non-CIA traffic, cretariat can only hope that the component which has an or take action in conjunction with a non-CIA cable will
action respondence of the second asked the these particular checking into assume respondence of the admitted than the second of	the instant case, it would seem to me that DDP, having asibility for Cuba, should have either brought the cables to the attention of NPIC or contacted Cable Secretariat to put NPIC on distribution for alar messages. However, feels, after thoroughly this matter, that he and the Cable Secretariat should asibility for not having disseminated the messages to mits that the NPIC interest was extremely obscure to the ariat based on the very fragmentary information available erning BRASS KNOB. Nevertheless, he wishes to assume

25X1

25X1

full responsibility for not having made the dissemination to NPIC.
Accordingly, suggests that a note of regret be sent to ACS/I
and CINCUSARPAC for our failure to take action on the CINCUSARPAC
request. He is reluctant, however, to take the further step of
pointing out to ACS/I that the military commands should more
appropriately request action of CIA through the appropriate Chief
of Station or the OCR Liaison Staff. feels that this might
reflect an undesirable image of CIA as not being able to take
action on messages sent directly to the Agency. Personally, I
am inclined to disagree with as I believe that a carefully
and properly couched memorandum expressing regret and pointing
out to ACS/I and CINCUSARPAC the proper channels for future use
is entirely in order.

5. If you will let me know how you would like to handle this I will be glad to draft for your approval a proposed memorandum for OCR to forward to ACS/I.

25×

Distribution:

orig - IG l - FMC chrono

25X1

- 24, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2, - 2,		
Jean:	would like	this filed
ሂ	Survey	
7.	with OCR/Related Paper	S.
STAT (NOTED 11/20/62	gini - 18 Nov 63

File OCR Survey

ApprovedsForPReMaseC20104908/2551 A-TREDP 67 FORM 34 FROOT PM 000 50 0 32-9 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL **SECRET** CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP то NAME AND ADDRESS DATE INITIALS 1 I.G. 2 3 4 5 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE SIGNATURE INFORMATION

Remarks:

I still think OCR should write to Department of Army - and I still think we should ask field commands not to address CIA directly.

/s/ EJA 6/3/63

19 Nov 63: When I talked to Paul Borel on this per JSE instructions he first had Chuck Briggs draft a proposed letter to CINCUSARPAC. After we both massaged this draft a bit, Paul Borel took the position that any letter would be non-productive and probably worse than no letter at all. After further discussion and checking with JSE, it was agreed that no letter would be sent. FMC

DATE

UNCLASSIFIED
Approved For Release 2004/08/25 : CIA-RDP67-00134R000100050032-9
Use previous editions
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 0—587282

	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDE			SECRET
			INTELLIGENCE /		-	
	OFFI	CIA	L ROUTING	G SI	JIP	
то	NAME AN	D AD	DRESS	_ D	ATE	INITIALS
1	JSE					
2	FHL					
3						
4						
5		•				
6					······································	
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	1	PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH			ENDATION
	COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE	CONCURRENCE			SIGNATU	RE
fir	The trouver have address I the letter to the De	ble esse ink epar resj	is that CIN(ed the telety we should a tment of A	CUSA pe to isk C rmy ques CINC	o CIA OCR to regre ting the	C should in the write tting
the De in	epartment of A the future not A in Washington	to a	ddress mes	ssage	es dir	ect to

				6 7 F0 0 1 3 4	
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENT		SECRET
			LIGENCE AG		
	OFFI	CIAL _R	OUTING	SLIP	
70	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	5	DATE	INITIALS
1					
				14 May	
2					
_	<u> </u>				
3	Mr. Earman				
	Lir. Daimail	-			 -
X 1					
5					
					
6					
	ACTION	DIDEC	T REPLY	DOEDER	F DEDLY
-	APPROVAL	DISPA			E REPLY TENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE			
	CONCURRENCE	 	MATION	RETURN	
wit bri	From 1. to 2 & of of OCR. Wo	ould you on it to Kirk'	want me t - or woul s attenti	o have a d vou b	a chat refer to
				Fì	1C